

Coupling Gravity and the Standard Model: a Conformal Approach

Marek Pawłowski¹

Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, POLAND

Abstract

In 1992 Professor Ryszard Rączka started to work on formulation of a version of the Standard Model conformally coupled with gravity. Being his student I had got the honour to participate in those researches. The conformally invariant Higgs-Free Model was the result of our investigations [1, 2, 3]. Ryszard Rączka passed away on 26 August 1996. I present here a short memorial survey of our results.

In early nineties the Standard Model described reasonably well Z_0 physics and the Standard Model inspired methods could be successfully applicable to higher and lower energy phenomena. Thus it seemed that the only missing element predicted by the theory but not observed is the Higgs boson. We wanted to eliminate it from the game but simultaneously we wanted to preserve as much of successful predictions of the standard theory as possible. The conformally invariant Higgs-Free Model (HFM) which we have proposed [1, 2, 3] seemed to fulfil these conditions.

Our idea was based on a simple observation: a gauge transformation may change the interpretation of a particular degree of freedom (but of course it does not eliminate it). If we connect the Standard Model (with the Higgs doublet) with a conformally invariant gravity (which, in contrast to the Einstein case, does not contain a dynamical degree of freedom connected with the "length" of metric tensor) and if we connect them in such a way that the local conformal symmetry is a symmetry of the whole model then we would obtain a possibility to eliminate the redundant degree of freedom in the Higgs sector and to reincarnate the missing degree of freedom in gravitational sector simultaneously.

¹Partially supported by Polish Committee for Scientific Researches.

Such a classical model is to be quantized. As usually in the case of gauge theories, only the dynamical degrees of freedom are a subject of quantization in the first step of the construction. The whole gauge symmetry can be restored afterwards what is transparent in the path integral formulation. Here the Fadeev-Popov trick is a useful tool. As we have shown [2] the modified Fadeev-Popov method can be applied in our theory.

Let us describe some details of the model. The lagrangian of our Higgs-Free Model reads

$$L = [L_{SM^c} + L_{\Phi/g} + L_{grav}]\sqrt{-g} \quad (1)$$

where L_{SM^c} is an ordinary Standard Model lagrangian with the Higgs doublet Φ without the Higgs mass term however. Instead there is a Higgs-gravity interaction term

$$L_{\Phi/g} = \beta \partial_\mu |\Phi| \partial^\mu |\Phi| - \frac{1}{6}(1 + \beta) R \Phi^\dagger \Phi, \quad (2)$$

which contains a Penrose inspired coupling and a β proportional conformally invariant part. The last one is not very aesthetical but it assures the proper ratio of electroweak and gravitational couplings at the classical level already. Finally a conformally invariant pure gravitational part is given by

$$L_{grav} = -\rho C^2, \quad \rho \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

where $C_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^\delta$ is the Weyl tensor.

As was said the essential feature of the model is the local conformal invariance. It means that simultaneous rescaling of all fields (including the field of metric tensor) with a common, arbitrary, space-time dependent factor $\Omega(x)$ taken with a proper power for each field (the conformal weight) will leave the Lagrangian (1) unaffected. The symmetry has a clear and obvious physical interpretation. It changes in every point of the space-time all dimensional quantities (lengths, masses, energy levels, etc.) leaving their ratios unchanged. It reflexes the deep truth of the nature that nothing except the numbers has an independent physical meaning. In the conventional approach we define the length scale in such a way that elementary particle masses are the same for all times and in all places. This will be the case when we rescale all fields with the x -dependent conformal factor $\Omega(x)$ in such a manner that the length of the rescaled scalar field doublet is fixed that is

$$\tilde{\Phi}^\dagger \tilde{\Phi} = \frac{v^2}{2} = \text{const.} \quad (4)$$

This is the phenomenon which was mentioned at the beginning: we can choose the gauge condition in such a way that the Higgs field disappears but simultaneously we obtain the Einstein like term in the gravity sector

$$-\frac{1}{6}(1+\beta)\frac{v^2}{2}R. \quad (5)$$

Obviously we can choose other conditions and obtain other interpretations of degrees of freedom.

If we take β big enough and negative then the term (5) can be fixed to the ordinary Einstein form. We have checked the properties of the gravitational sector of our model. If we impose the condition (4) then the variation of (1) with respect to the metric leads to the classical equation of motion which in the empty case $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ is satisfied by all solutions of an empty space Einstein equation with a properly chosen cosmological constant Λ_c :

$$\Lambda_c = \frac{3}{2(1+\beta)}\lambda v^2. \quad (6)$$

(λ is a quartic Higgs coupling constant of L_{SM^c} .) This important result was confirmed by other authors [4]. Since in our formalism we do not use the spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism (SSB) for mass generation (we will come to this point below) the self-interaction term $\lambda(\Phi^\dagger\Phi)^2$ can be set to zero by setting $\lambda = 0$. In this case the cosmological constant (6) obtained in our formalism is also zero in agreement with experiments and the conviction of Einstein and many others.

The condition (4) together with the unitary gauge fixing of $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ gauge group, reduce the Higgs doublet to the form

$$\Phi^{scaled} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \quad v > 0 \quad (7)$$

Inserting this condition into (1) we produce the tree level mass terms for leptons, quarks and vector bosons associated with $SU(2)_L$ gauge group.

The fermion–vector boson interactions in our model are the same as in SM. Hence analogously as in the case of conventional formulation of SM

one can deduce the tree level relation between v and G_F – the four-fermion coupling constant of β -decay:

$$v^2 = (2G_F)^{-1} \rightarrow v = 246\text{GeV}. \quad (8)$$

(We have used the standard decomposition $g^{\mu\nu}\sqrt{-g} = \eta^{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{2}\kappa h^{\mu\nu}$ which reduced the tree level problem for the matter fields to the ordinary flat case task.)

The resulting expressions for masses of physical particles are identical as in the conventional SM and we see that the Higgs mechanism and SSB is not indispensable for the fermion and vector mesons mass generation!.

Several words should be written about the renormalizability. The lagrangian (1) contains three parts. Two of them - the Standard Model and the R^2 gravity - seem to be separately renormalizable. The nonpolynomial term of the Higgs-gravity interaction part (2) spoils this property. The β proportional terms are our unwonted element of the model. They were introduced in order to square the strengths of (quantum) weak interactions and the (classical) gravity. If there would be found a more subtle mechanism of coordination these quantum and classical effects then the nonpolynomial term would be redundant.

Despite the mentioned above problems we were able to derive definite dynamical predictions of our model. These are electro-weak predictions for the present accelerator experiments.

It is natural and reasonable for this purpose to ignore gravity and consider a flat space-time approximation of the model. In the limit of flat space-time our model represents a massive vector boson (MVB) theory for electroweak and strong interactions, which is perturbatively nonrenormalizable. The perturbative nonrenormalizability means that we are not able to improve the accuracy of predictions by inclusion of more and more perturbation orders but it does not mean that we are not able to derive predictions at all. We can obtain definite predictions at one loop if we introduce some ultraviolet (UV) cutoff Λ and if we consider processes with energy scale E below this cutoff. We have shown that the cutoff Λ is closely connected with the Higgs mass m_H appearing in the Standard Model. From this point of view Higgs mass is nothing else as the UV cutoff which assures that the truncated perturbation series is meaningful.

The introduction of Λ makes all predictions Λ -dependent. In order to obtain the independent predictions we have to select some reference observ-

able $R_0(\Lambda)$ which is measured with the best accuracy in the present EW experiments. It will replace the unknown variable Λ in the expressions for the other physical quantities R_i . Choosing the total width of Z -meson Γ_Z as the reference quantity we have calculated one loop predictions for all interesting observables measured at Z_0 peak [3]. It is nothing unexpected that the results almost coincide with SM predictions and equally well (or poorly) describes the present data. One can expect that even more accurate measurements taken at the single energy point would not be able to discriminate between the Standard Model and a class of effective models with massive vector mesons - as I said the Higgs mass plays the role of UV cutoff and *vice versa*.

One can distinguish these models finding the Higgs boson directly (of course!). But we have proposed also another way.

Predictions of an effective model can be in principle calculated for experiments performed in various energy regions. These predictions would depend on the cutoff. And inversely: the value of the appropriate cutoff derived from experimental data collected in different energy regions can be energy dependent in principle. The cutoff is an artificial element which we introduce in order to cover an incompleteness of the model or imperfection of our calculational methods. We try to hide our ignorance in a simplest way: we introduce one additional parameter Λ . We hope that this parameter can be the same for a class of similar phenomena. It would be nice to have a universal cutoff valid for all phenomena below some energy scale but in principle it needs not to be the case. Thus we have to admit that the cutoff is energy dependent.

We have already mentioned, that the UV cutoff Λ is closely connected with the Higgs mass of SM. But of course the value of physical Higgs mass derived from various sets of experiments should be the same - in contrast to the supposed energy dependence of the cutoff Λ . This is the difference which makes a room for comparison.

In practice we need predictions for the Higgs mass (or the cutoff Λ) derived independently from two separate energy regions. One of them can be of course the Z_0 peak. The second can be provided for example by 10-20GeV e^+e^- colliders of luminosity high enough ($\sim 10^{34} cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ [5]). We have estimated that the necessary sample of produced tau pair should be of order of $\sim 10^8$. Then the observed sensitivity to the value of Higgs mass will be of order of 100GeV and the predicted Higgs mass could be compared with the

value of m_H derived from Z_0 -peak data. We have to stress that one can relax from the HFM or MVB model inspiration and regard the proposed test as a selfconsistency check of the SM itself.

Concluding this memorial overview I'd like to stress that the HFM provides a novel and original approach to the problem of unified description of fundamental interactions. It glues the SM and the conformal gravity in a conformally invariant way. As a result we obtain Einstein-like gravity coupled in the usual way with the version of SM without the Higgs boson. All experimentally confirmed results of Einstein theory and the Standard Model can be naturally reproduced within the present model. New phenomena can appear however. We have proposed an experimental framework for testing them. The physical Higgs boson is absent from the proposed theory!

References

- [1] M. Pawłowski and R. Rączka, Found. of Phys. **24**, 1305 (1994); M. Pawłowski and R. Rączka, in *Modern Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, eds. J. Bertrand *et al.*, (Kluwer Acad. Publishers 1995).
- [2] M. Pawłowski and R. Rączka, A Higgs-Free Model for Fundamental Interactions, Part I: Formulation of the Model, preprint, ILAS/EP-3-1995, Trieste; e-Print Archive: hep-ph/9503269.
- [3] M. Pawłowski and R. Rączka, A Higgs-Free Model for Fundamental Interactions, Part II: Predictions for Electroweak Observables, preprint, ILAS/EP-4-1995, Trieste; e-Print Archive: hep-ph/9503270.
- [4] E. Tsantilis, R.A. Puntigam and F.W. Hehl, A quadratic curvature lagrangian of Pawłowski and Rączka ..., in *Relativity and Scientific Computing - Computer Algebra, Numerics, Visualization*, ed by F.W. Hehl, *et al.*, Springer Verlag, 1996; e-Print Archive: gr-qc/9601002.
- [5] M. Pawłowski and R. Rączka, Consistency test of the Standard Model, e-Print Archive: hep-ph/9610435.